This protocol considers this test or procedure investigational. If the physician feels this service is medically necessary, preauthorization is recommended.

The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient’s contract at the time the services are rendered.

RELATED PROTOCOL
None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Comparators</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: With conditions linked to nerve damage or disease (e.g., diabetic neuropathy, carpal tunnel syndrome)</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Current perception threshold testing</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Standard clinical evaluation • Other sensory assessment tests</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Test accuracy • Test validity • Symptoms • Functional outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: With conditions linked to nerve damage or disease (e.g., diabetic neuropathy, carpal tunnel syndrome)</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Pressure-specified sensory testing</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Standard clinical evaluation • Other sensory assessment tests</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Test accuracy • Test validity • Symptoms • Functional outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: With conditions linked to nerve damage or disease (e.g., diabetic neuropathy, carpal tunnel syndrome)</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Vibration perception testing</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Standard clinical evaluation • Other sensory assessment tests</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Test accuracy • Test validity • Symptoms • Functional outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: With conditions linked to nerve damage or disease (e.g., diabetic neuropathy, carpal tunnel syndrome)</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Thermal sensory testing</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Standard clinical evaluation • Other sensory assessment tests</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Test accuracy • Test validity • Symptoms • Functional outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION
Quantitative sensory testing (QST) systems are used for the noninvasive assessment and quantification of sensory nerve function in patients with symptoms of, or the potential for neurologic damage or disease. Types of
sensory testing include current perception threshold testing, pressure-specified sensory testing, vibration perception testing (VPT), and thermal sensory testing. Information on sensory deficits identified using QST has been used in research settings to understand neuropathic pain better. It could be used to diagnose conditions linked to nerve damage and disease, and to improve patient outcomes by impacting management strategies.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

For individuals who have conditions linked to nerve damage or disease (e.g., diabetic neuropathy, carpal tunnel syndrome) who receive current perception threshold testing, the evidence includes several studies on technical performance and diagnostic accuracy. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, symptoms, and functional outcomes. The existing evidence does not support the accuracy of current perception threshold testing for diagnosing any condition linked to nerve damage or disease. Studies comparing current perception threshold testing with other testing methods have not reported on sensitivity or specificity. Also, there is a lack of direct evidence on the clinical utility of current perception testing and, because there is insufficient evidence on test performance, an indirect chain of evidence on clinical utility cannot be constructed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have conditions linked to nerve damage or disease (e.g., diabetic neuropathy, carpal tunnel syndrome) who receive pressure-specified sensory testing, the evidence includes several studies on diagnostic accuracy. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, symptoms, and functional outcomes. Current evidence does not support the diagnostic accuracy of pressure-specified sensory testing for diagnosing any condition linked to nerve damage or disease. A systematic review found that pressure-specified sensory testing had low accuracy for diagnosing spinal conditions. Also, there is a lack of direct evidence on the clinical utility of current perception testing and, because there is insufficient evidence on test performance, an indirect chain of evidence on clinical utility cannot be constructed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have conditions linked to nerve damage or disease (e.g., diabetic neuropathy, carpal tunnel syndrome) who receive VPT, the evidence includes several studies on diagnostic accuracy. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, symptoms, and functional outcomes. A few studies have assessed the diagnostic performance of vibration testing using devices not cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Also, there is a lack of direct evidence on the clinical utility of VPT and, in the absence of sufficient evidence on test performance, an indirect chain of evidence on clinical utility cannot be constructed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have conditions linked to nerve damage or disease (e.g., diabetic neuropathy, carpal tunnel syndrome) who receive thermal sensory testing, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, symptoms, and functional outcomes. Two studies identified evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of thermal QST using the same U.S. Food and Drug Administration cleared device. Neither found a high diagnostic accuracy for thermal QST but both studies found the test had potential when used with other tests. An additional study using a different device also supports the potential of thermal QST in combination with other tests. The optimal combination of tests is currently unclear. Also, there is a lack of direct evidence on the clinical utility of thermal sensory testing and, because there is insufficient evidence on test performance, an indirect chain of evidence on clinical utility cannot be constructed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

POLICY

Quantitative sensory testing, including but not limited to current perception threshold testing, pressure-
specified sensory device testing, vibration perception threshold testing, and thermal threshold testing, is considered investigational.

BACKGROUND

NERVE DAMAGE AND DISEASE

Nerve damage and nerve diseases can reduce functional capacity and lead to neuropathic pain.

Treatment

There is a need for tests that can objectively measure sensory thresholds. Moreover, quantitative sensory testing (QST) could aid in the early diagnosis of disease, before patients would be diagnosed clinically. Also, although the criterion standard for evaluation of myelinated, large fibers is electromyography nerve conduction study, there are no criterion standard reference tests to diagnose small fiber dysfunction.

QUANTITATIVE SENSORY TESTING

Quantitative sensory test (QST) systems measure and quantify the amount of physical stimuli required for sensory perception to occur. As sensory deficits increase, the perception threshold of QST will increase, which may be informative in documenting the progression of neurologic damage or disease. QST has not been established for use as a sole tool for diagnosis and management but has been used with standard evaluative and management procedures (e.g., physical and neurologic examination, monofilament testing, pinprick, grip and pinch strength, Tinel sign, and Phalen and Roos test) to enhance the diagnosis and treatment-planning process, and to confirm physical findings with quantifiable data. Stimuli used in QST include touch, pressure, pain, thermal (warm and cold), or vibratory stimuli.

The criterion standard for evaluation of myelinated, large fibers is the electromyography nerve conduction study. However, the function of smaller myelinated and unmyelinated sensory nerves, which may show pathologic changes before the involvement of the motor nerves, cannot be detected by nerve conduction studies. Small fiber neuropathy has traditionally been a diagnosis of exclusion in patients who have symptoms of distal neuropathy and a negative nerve conduction study.

Depending on the type of stimuli used, QST can assess both small and large fiber dysfunction. Touch and vibration measure the function of large myelinated A alpha and A beta sensory fibers. Thermal stimulation devices are used to evaluate pathology of small myelinated and unmyelinated nerve fibers; they can be used to assess heat and cold sensation, as well as thermal pain thresholds. Pressure-specified sensory devices assess large myelinated sensory nerve function by quantifying the thresholds of pressure detected with light, static, and moving touch. Finally, current perception threshold testing involves the quantification of the sensory threshold to transcutaneous electrical stimulation. In current perception threshold testing, typically 3 frequencies are tested: 5 Hz, designed to assess C fibers; 250 Hz, designed to assess A delta fibers; and 2000 Hz, designed to assess A beta fibers. Results are compared with those of a reference population.

Because QST combines the objective physical, sensory stimuli with the subject patient response, it is psychophysical and requires patients who are alert, able to follow directions, and cooperative. Also, to get reliable results, examinations need to include standardized instructions to the patients, and stimuli must be applied consistently by trained staff. Psychophysical tests have greater inherent variability, making their results more difficult to reproduce.

QST has primarily been applied in patients with conditions associated with nerve damage and neuropathic pain. A retrospective analysis of a prospective database maintained by the German Research Network on Neuropathic Pain by Forstenpointner et al (2021) compared QST profiles between patients with painful neuropathic conditions (n=332), patients with neuropathic conditions who did not report pain (n=111), and healthy controls.
(n=112). After extensive QST testing, including thermal, mechanical/vibration, and pain sensitivity, the researchers found similar QST profiles between patients who reported pain and patients who did not report pain, which raises concern about the role of QST in general in decision-making for neuropathic conditions. There have also been preliminary investigations to identify sensory deficits associated with conditions such as autism spectrum disorder, Tourette syndrome, restless legs syndrome, musculoskeletal pain, and response to opioid treatment.

REGULATORY STATUS

A number of QST devices have been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration through the 510(k) process. Examples are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. FDA Approved Quantitative Sensory Testing Devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Date Cleared</th>
<th>510(k)</th>
<th>Indications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDA product code: LLN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neumeter®</td>
<td>Neurotron</td>
<td>Jun 1986</td>
<td>K853608</td>
<td>Current perception threshold testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK Pressure-Specified Sensory Device, Model PSSD</td>
<td>NK Biotechnical Engineering</td>
<td>Aug 1994</td>
<td>K934368</td>
<td>Pressure-specified sensory testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP-4000, Air Pulse Sensory Stimulator</td>
<td>Pentax Precision Instrument</td>
<td>Sep 1997</td>
<td>K964815</td>
<td>Pressure-specified sensory testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural-Scan</td>
<td>Neuro-Diagnostic Assoc.</td>
<td>Dec 1997</td>
<td>K964622</td>
<td>Current perception threshold testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration Perception Threshold (VPT) METER</td>
<td>Xilas Medical</td>
<td>Dec 2003</td>
<td>K030829</td>
<td>Vibration perception testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA product code: NTU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Heat-Evoked Potential Stimulator (Cheps)</td>
<td>Medoc, Advanced Medical Systems</td>
<td>Feb 2005</td>
<td>K041908</td>
<td>Thermal sensory testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol.

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.
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