Preauthorization is not required.

The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient’s contract at the time the services are rendered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Comparators</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • With nonhyperkeratotic actinic keratoses on the face or scalp</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Photodynamic therapy</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Pharmacologic therapy • Cryotherapy • Laser therapy</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • With low-risk basal cell carcinoma</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Photodynamic therapy</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Pharmacologic therapy • Cryotherapy • Surgery • Radiotherapy</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • With squamous cell carcinoma in situ</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Photodynamic therapy</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Photodynamic therapy • Cryotherapy • Surgery • Radiotherapy</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • With nonmetastatic invasive squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Photodynamic therapy</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Cryotherapy • Surgery • Radiotherapy</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • With acne</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Photodynamic therapy</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Pharmacologic therapy • Cryotherapy • Laser or light therapy</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • With noncancerous dermatologic skin conditions (e.g., hidradenitis suppurativa, mycoses, port wine stain)</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Photodynamic therapy</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Pharmacologic therapy • Cryotherapy • Laser therapy</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Description

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) refers to light activation of a photosensitizer to generate highly reactive intermediaries, which ultimately cause tissue injury and necrosis. Photosensitizing agents, administered orally or intravenously, have been used in nondermatologic applications and are being proposed for use with dermatologic conditions such as actinic keratoses and nonmelanoma skin cancers.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have nonhyperkeratotic actinic keratoses on the face or scalp who receive PDT, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Evidence from multiple RCTs has found that PDT improves the net health outcome in patients with nonhyperkeratotic actinic keratoses on the face or scalp compared with placebo or other active interventions. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have low-risk basal cell carcinoma who receive PDT, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Systematic reviews of RCTs have found that PDT may not be as effective as surgery for superficial and nodular basal cell carcinoma. In the small number of trials available, PDT was more effective than placebo. The available evidence from RCTs has suggested that PDT has better cosmetic outcomes than surgery. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have squamous cell carcinoma in situ who receive PDT, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. RCTs have found that PDT has similar or greater efficacy compared with cryotherapy and 5-fluorouracil. Additionally, adverse events and cosmetic outcomes appear to be better after PDT. Few RCTs have compared PDT with surgery or radiotherapy; as a result, conclusions cannot be drawn about PDT compared with these other standard treatments. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have nonmetastatic invasive squamous cell carcinoma who receive PDT, the evidence includes observational studies and a systematic review of observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Conclusions cannot be drawn from small, uncontrolled studies. RCTs are needed to determine the safety and efficacy of PDT for this condition. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have acne who receive PDT, the evidence includes RCTs and a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The available RCTs have not consistently found significantly better outcomes with PDT compared with other interventions, and a meta-analysis did not find significantly better results with PDT versus placebo. Several trials have found that PDT is associated with high rates of adverse events leading to the cessation of treatment. Trials have tended to have relatively small sample sizes and used a variety of comparison interventions. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have noncancerous dermatologic skin conditions (e.g., hidradenitis suppurativa, mycoses, port wine stain) who receive PDT, the evidence includes case series and systematic reviews of uncontrolled series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. RCTs are needed to determine the safety and efficacy of PDT for these conditions. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.
Policy
Photodynamic therapy may be considered **medically necessary** as a treatment of:

- Nonhyperkeratotic actinic keratoses of the face and scalp.
- Low-risk (e.g., superficial and nodular) basal cell skin cancer only when surgery and radiation are contraindicated.
- Bowen disease (squamous cell carcinoma in situ) only when surgery and radiation are contraindicated.

Photodynamic therapy is considered **investigational** for other dermatologic applications, including, but not limited to, acne vulgaris, high-risk basal cell carcinomas, hidradenitis suppurativa, and mycoses.

Photodynamic therapy as a technique of skin rejuvenation, hair removal, or other cosmetic indications would be **cosmetic** and therefore not eligible for coverage.

Policy Guidelines
Surgery or radiation is the preferred treatment for low-risk basal cell cancer and Bowen’s disease. If photodynamic therapy is selected for these indications because of contraindications to surgery or radiation, patients and physicians need to be aware that it may have a lower cure rate in comparison with surgery or radiation.

Photodynamic therapy typically involves two office visits: one to apply the topical aminolevulinic acid and a second visit to expose the patient to the blue light. The second physician office visit, performed solely to administer blue light, should not warrant a separate Evaluation and Management service. Photodynamic protocols typically involve two treatments spaced a week apart; more than one treatment series may be required.

Medicare Advantage
The preceding policy statements apply with the exception of the following statement addressing actinic keratosis:

For Medicare Advantage it is **medically necessary** to destroy actinic keratoses by, but not limited to, cryosurgery with liquid nitrogen, curettage, excision, and photodynamic therapy, based on what the physician determines is the best treatment for the patient and the characteristics of the lesions present.

Background
**Photodynamic Therapy**

PDT refers to light activation of a photosensitizer to generate highly reactive intermediaries, which ultimately cause tissue injury and necrosis. Two common photosensitizing agents are 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA) and its methyl ester, methyl aminolevulinate (MAL). When applied topically, these agents pass readily through abnormal keratin overlying the lesion and accumulate preferentially in dysplastic cells. The agents 5-ALA and MAL are metabolized by underlying cells to photosensitizing concentrations of porphyrins. Subsequent exposure to photoactivation (maximum absorption at 404 to 420 nm and 635 nm, respectively) generates reactive oxygen species that are cytotoxic, ultimately destroying the lesion. PDT can cause erythema, burning, and pain. Healing occurs within 10 to 14 days, with generally acceptable cosmetic results. PDT with topical ALA has been investigated primarily as a treatment of actinic keratoses.
Applications

Photodynamic therapy has also been investigated as a treatment of other superficial dermatologic lesions, such as Bowen disease, acne vulgaris, mycoses, hidradenitis suppurativa, and superficial and nodular basal cell carcinoma (BCC). Moreover, there are some potential cosmetic indications, including skin rejuvenation and hair removal.

Actinic keratoses are rough, scaly, or warty premalignant growths on the sun-exposed skin that are very common in older people with fair complexions, with a prevalence of greater than 80% in fair-skinned people older than 60 years of age. In some cases, actinic keratosis may progress to squamous cell carcinoma. Available treatments for actinic keratoses can be divided into surgical and nonsurgical methods. Surgical treatments used to treat one or a small number of dispersed individual lesions include excision, curettage (either alone or combined with electrodessication), and laser surgery. Nonsurgical treatments include cryotherapy, topical chemotherapy (5-fluorouracil [5-FU] or masoprocol creams), chemexfoliation (chemical peels), and dermabrasion. Topical treatments are generally used in patients with multiple lesions and involve extensive areas of skin. Under some circumstances, combinations of treatments may be used.

Nonmelanoma skin cancers are the most common malignancies in the white population. Most often found in light-skinned individuals, BCC is the most common of the cutaneous malignancies. Although BCC tumors rarely metastasize, they can be locally invasive if left untreated, leading to significant local destruction and disfigurement. The most prevalent forms of BCC are nodular BCC and superficial BCC. Bowen disease is a squamous cell carcinoma in situ with the potential for significant lateral spread. Metastases are rare, with less than 5% of cases advancing to invasive squamous cell carcinoma. Lesions may appear on the sun-exposed or covered skin. Excision surgery is the preferred treatment for smaller nonmelanoma skin lesions and those not in problematic areas, such as the face and digits. Other established treatments include topical 5-FU, imiquimod, and cryotherapy.

Regulatory Status

In 1999, Levulan® Kerastick™, a topical preparation of ALA, in conjunction with illumination with the BLU-U™ Blue Light Photodynamic Therapy Illuminator, was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of nonhyperkeratotic actinic keratoses of the face and scalp. The product is applied in the physician’s office. FDA product code: MVF.

In 2016, the FDA approved Ameluz® (aminolevulinic acid hydrochloride) gel, 10% (BF-200 ALA; Biofrontera AG) in combination with PDT using BF-RhodoLED lamp, to be used for the lesion-directed and field-directed treatment of actinic keratoses of mild-to-moderate severity on the face and scalp. The treatment is to be administered by a health care provider.

A 5-ALA patch technology is available outside of the United States through an agreement between Intendis (now Bayer HealthCare) and Photonomic. The 5-ALA patch is not approved by the FDA.

Another variant of PDT for skin lesions is Metvixia® used with the Aktilite CL128 lamp, each of which received FDA approval in 2004. Metvixia® (Galderma, Switzerland; Photocure, Norway) consists of the topical application of MAL (in contrast to ALA used in the Kerastick™ procedure), followed by exposure with the Aktilite CL128 lamp, a red light source (in contrast to the blue light source in the Kerastick™ procedure). Broadband light sources (containing the appropriate wavelengths), intense pulsed light (FDA product code: ONF), pulsed dye lasers, and potassium-titanyl-phosphate lasers have also been used. Metvixia® is indicated for the treatment of nonhyperkeratotic actinic keratoses of the face and scalp in immunocompetent patients when used with lesion preparation (débridement using a sharp dermal curette) in the physician’s office when other therapies are unacceptable or considered medically less appropriate. FDA product codes: GEX and LNK.
Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment Protocol.

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.
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